The Complaint came today. I like it and the approach. In eximing it I came to what I'd not consulted in some time, the 5/22/74 O'Neill appeal rejection. I believe it confirms the suspicions I've had all along about what is withheld and why and that if necessary we ought press for in camera inspection under conditions that permit us to address the judge on the possible contents and whether or not they are already partly disclosed and now withheld for wrongful purpose; prevent official embarrassment.

.'m glad Paul has bowed out because this gives you freedom in your approach.

O'neill breaks his refusals into national security and personnel matters. Ordinarily
the latter is properly exempt. However, there were several unusual personnel matters
at that approximate time and they have discosed personnel matters when discussed in
executive session and recently by other, unedited documents. (American hail?)

I do not know the exact date Adams resigned. At about this time Rubert had decided to resign. His hiring and his resignation are both discussed in the transcripts I have. I am without doubt that Adams resigned because he saw alearly the course the Commission took. I believe this figures in Rubert's leaving. He almost talked to me. If I'd had the remain in N.O. a little longer that trip he would have.

I known of nothing ordinarily considered a personnel matter that chould have caused an entire executive session to be devoted to it. I don't know if I have the agenda for that session, but why not call benny and ask for it? Haybe that of the other sessions, too.

The two dates where the CIA asked ask continued withholding are January 21 and

June 23.

With the farmer 1/21 it would seem that both the Texas reports on Oswald as an agent and Nosenko are ruled out. The nature of the discussions 1/22 and 27 seem to eliminate the probability that the subject was discussed that way but does not rule out discussion that includes what before then has been published. Subject here agent. Nosenko didn't defect until the next month.

In this case it was GIA slone that asked for withholding. Assuring this means there was no PBI interest, what can be the reasons, assuring legitimacy? Not secret foreign sources because there were none to tap. The Russians supposedly were comparative.

One of the possibilities is the Osvald notebook which about then was the subject of considerable Corrission interest because the PBI saited parts out. This notebook does disclose Russian names but none secret and none not disclosed by the Commission. It also bears indication of the serving of an intelligence functions. The Mexican stuff was then known and has been released, as has the fact that the CTA had inside sources there.

Frank Donner, who I haven't seem since the late 30s or earliest 40s, called at this point and I've forgotten what I had in mind as a special possibility of the

1/21 transcript.

That of the 6/23 follows closely upon the Mosenko material I got after they pretended to have given me all of it. The CIA is supposed to be considering letting me have more. There was improper withholding of what they let me have. I now have both versions. The special problems had to do with what was suppressed and the possibility of embarrasument from it. Coleman and Blasson seem not to have been reluctant to suppress or there are staff memos we don't have. Come to think of it, there were deveral I did see they did not copy and then swore I had not seen that were on this. I have correspondence that may be indexed on this, inyway, the vertylong Coleman-Stawson memo is undated but I came to believe that it dates to hay or June, 1964 and so marked on my file. They'll fight like hell on this one if for no other reason. I galso have correspondence in which the CIA (esp. Helms) stonewalled the Commission. I suspect this is part of what Howard took out of PR. I've not questioned him and have not had time to check. In any event, I think we ought not push them on this one, again ought concentrate on building a record and giving themst time to help us, and that when we can we should sit down and explore all the possibilities of what these sessions can hold.